Limitations of Self-Assembly at Temperature One (extended abstract)

David Doty
Department of Computer Science
Iowa State University
Ames, IA 50011, USA
ddoty@cs.iastate.edu

Matthew J. Patitz
Department of Computer Science
Iowa State University
Ames, IA 50011, USA
mpatitz@cs.iastate.edu

Scott M. Summers
Department of Computer Science
Iowa State University
Ames, IA 50011, USA
summers@cs.iastate.edu

We prove that if a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ weakly self-assembles at temperature 1 in a deterministic (Winfree) tile assembly system satisfying a natural condition known as *pumpability*, then X is a finite union of semi-doubly periodic sets. This shows that only the most simple of infinite shapes and patterns can be constructed using pumpable temperature 1 tile assembly systems, and gives evidence for the thesis that temperature 2 or higher is required to carry out general-purpose computation in a tile assembly system. Finally, we show that general-purpose computation *is* possible at temperature 1 if negative glue strengths are allowed in the tile assembly model.

1 Introduction

Self-assembly is a bottom-up process by which a small number of fundamental components automatically coalesce to form a target structure. In 1998, Winfree [7] introduced the (abstract) Tile Assembly Model (TAM) – an "effectivization" of Wang tiling [5, 6] – as an over-simplified mathematical model of the DNA self-assembly pioneered by Seeman [4]. In the TAM, the fundamental components are unrotatable, but translatable square "tile types" whose sides are labeled with glue "colors" and "strengths." Two tiles that are placed next to each other *interact* if the glue colors on their abutting sides match, and they *bind* if the strength on their abutting sides matches with total strength at least a certain ambient "temperature," usually taken to be 1 or 2.

Despite its deliberate over-simplification, the TAM is a computationally and geometrically expressive model at temperature 2. The reason is that, at temperature 2, certain tiles are not permitted to bond until *two* tiles are already present to match the glues on the bonding sides, which enables cooperation between different tile types to control the placement of new tiles. Winfree [7] proved that at temperature 2 the TAM is computationally universal and thus can be directed algorithmically.

In contrast, we aim to prove that the lack of cooperation at temperature 1 inhibits the sort of complex behavior possible at temperature 2. Our main theorem concerns the *weak self-assembly* of subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ using temperature 1 tile assembly systems. Informally, a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ weakly self-assembles in a tile assembly system $\mathscr{T}$ if some of the tile types of $\mathscr{T}$ are painted black, and $\mathscr{T}$ is guaranteed to self-assemble into an assembly α of tiles such that X is precisely the set of integer lattice points at which α contains black tile types. As an example, Winfree [7] exhibited a temperature 2 tile assembly system, directed by a clever XOR-like algorithm, that weakly self-assembles a well-known set, the discrete Sierpinski triangle, onto the first quadrant. Note that the underlying *shape* (set of all points containing a tile, whether black or not) of Winfree's construction is an infinite canvas that covers the entire first quadrant, onto which a more sophisticated set, the discrete Sierpinski triangle, is painted.

We show that under a plausible assumption, temperature 1 tile systems weakly self-assemble only a limited class of sets. To prove our main result, we require the hypothesis that the tile system is *pumpable*.

T. Neary, D. Woods, A.K. Seda and N. Murphy (Eds.):
The Complexity of Simple Programs 2008.
EPTCS 1, 2009, pp. 67–69, doi:10.4204/EPTCS.1.6

© D. Doty, M. Patitz and S. Summers
This work is licensed under the
Creative Commons Attribution License.

Informally, this means that every sufficiently long path of tiles in an assembly of this system contains a segment in which the same tile type repeats (a condition clearly implied by the pigeonhole principle), and that furthermore, the subpath between these two occurrences can be repeated indefinitely ("pumped") along the same direction as the first occurrence of the segment, without "colliding" with a previous portion of the path. We give a counterexample of a path in which the same tile type appears twice, yet the segment between the appearances cannot be pumped without eventually resulting in a collision that prevents additional pumping. The hypothesis of pumpability states (roughly) that in every sufficiently long path, despite the presence of some repeating tiles that cannot be pumped, *there exists* a segment in which the same tile type repeats that *can* be pumped. In the above-mentioned counterexample, the paths constructed to create a blocked segment always contain some previous segment that *is* pumpable. We conjecture that this phenomenon, pumpability, occurs in every temperature 1 tile assembly system that produces a unique infinite structure.

A *semi-doubly periodic* set $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ is a set of integer lattice points with the property that there are three vectors $\vec{b}$ (the "base point" of the set), $\vec{u}$, and $\vec{v}$ (the two periods of the set), such that $X = \left\{ \vec{b} + n \cdot \vec{u} + m \cdot \vec{v} \;\middle|\; n, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$. That is, a semi-doubly periodic set is a set that repeats infinitely along two vectors (linearly independent vectors in the non-degenerate case), starting at some base point $\vec{b}$. We show that any directed, pumpable, temperature 1 tile assembly system weakly self-assembles a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ that is a finite union of semi-doubly periodic sets.

It is our contention that weak self-assembly captures the intuitive notion of what it means to "compute" with a tile assembly system. For example, the use of tile assembly systems to build shapes is captured by requiring all tile types to be black, in order to ask what set of integer lattice points contain any tile at all (so-called *strict self-assembly*). However, weak self-assembly is a more general notion. For example, Winfree's above mentioned result shows that the discrete Sierpinski triangle weakly self-assembles at temperature 2 [3], yet this shape does not strictly self-assemble at *any* temperature [1]. Hence weak self-assembly allows for a more relaxed notion of set building, in which intermediate space can be used for computation, without requiring that the space filled to carry out the computation also represent the final result of the computation.

As another example, there is a standard construction [7] by which a single-tape Turing machine may be simulated by a temperature 2 tile assembly system. Regardless of the semantics of the Turing machine (whether it decides a language, enumerates a language, computes a function, etc.), it is routine to represent the result of the computation by the weak self-assembly of some set. For example, Patitz and Summers [2] showed that for any decidable language $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, A's projection along the X-axis $\left(\text{the set} \left\{ (x,0) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \;\middle|\; x \in A \right\}\right)$ weakly self-assembles in a temperature 2 tile assembly system. As another example, if a Turing machine computes a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, it is routine to design a tile assembly system based on Winfree's construction such that, if the seed assembly is used to encode the binary representation of a number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the tile assembly system weakly self-assembles the set

$$\left\{ (k,0) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \text{the } k^{\text{th}} \text{ least significant bit of the} \\ \text{binary representation of } f(n) \text{ is 1} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Our result is motivated by the thesis that if a tile assembly system can reasonably be said to "compute", then the result of this computation can be represented in a straightforward manner as a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ that weak self-assembles in the tile assembly system, or a closely related tile assembly system. Our examples above provide evidence for this thesis, although it is as informal and unprovable as the Church-Turing thesis. On the basis of this claim, and the triviality of semi-doubly periodic sets, we conclude

that our main result implies that directed, pumpable, temperature 1 tile assembly systems are incapable of general-purpose deterministic computation, without further relaxing the model.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Maria Axenovich, Matt Cook, and Jack Lutz for useful discussions, and anonymous referees for corrections. We would especially like to thank Niall Murphy, Turlough Neary, Anthony K. Seda and Damien Woods for inviting us to present a preliminary version of this research at the International Workshop on The Complexity of Simple Programs, University College Cork, Ireland on December 6th and 7th, 2008.

References

[1] James I. Lathrop, Jack H. Lutz & Scott M. Summers (2009): *Strict Self-Assembly of Discrete Sierpinski Triangles*. *Theoretical Computer Science* 410, pp. 384–405.

[2] Matthew J. Patitz & Scott M. Summers (2008): *Self-Assembly of Decidable Sets*. In: *Proceedings of The Seventh International Conference on Unconventional Computation (Vienna, Austria, August 25-28, 2008)*.

[3] Paul W.K. Rothemund, Nick Papadakis & Erik Winfree (2004): *Algorithmic Self-Assembly of DNA Sierpinski Triangles*. *PLoS Biology* 2(12).

[4] Nadrian C. Seeman (1982): *Nucleic-acid junctions and lattices*. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 99, pp. 237–247.

[5] Hao Wang (1961): *Proving Theorems by Pattern Recognition – II*. *The Bell System Technical Journal* XL(1), pp. 1–41.

[6] Hao Wang (1963): *Dominoes and the AEA case of the decision problem*. In: *Proceedings of the Symposium on Mathematical Theory of Automata (New York, 1962)*. Polytechnic Press of Polytechnic Inst. of Brooklyn, Brooklyn, N.Y., pp. 23–55.

[7] Erik Winfree (1998): *Algorithmic Self-Assembly of DNA*. Ph.D. thesis, California Institute of Technology.